

The Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames and the London Borough of Richmond upon Thames The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Minutes of the Virtual School Governing Board Virtual Meeting held: Wednesday 8 July 2020 3-4 pm

Constitution, Membership and Attendance

Director for Education Services, Kingston and Richmond	Charis Penfold
Director of Children's Social Care, Kingston and Richmond	Alison Twynam
Virtual School Headteacher	Suzanne Parrott
Head of Governor Support	Angela Langford
Primary Headteacher (LBR)	Carmen Palmer
Secondary Headteacher (RBK)	Tom Gibson
Primary Headteacher (RBWM)	Gemma Donnelly
Foster Carer	Ruth Sinclair
Kingston and Richmond CCG	Vicky Fraser
Associate Director for Corporate Parenting (RBWM)	Marie Bell

Apologies (in bold)

Also attended: Julia Hunt (Clerk)

		Action
1.	Welcome and Apologies	
1.1	CP welcomed governors to the virtual meeting and introduced Marie Bell, Associate Director for Corporate Parenting (RBWM) who will be joining the Board. Apologies were outlined as above.	
1.2	In line with advice for all governing boards during this time, the meeting focused on how the Virtual School has supported young people during COVID-19, its impact on young people, and next steps/future developments.	
2. 2.1	Minutes of the last meeting 3 December 2019 and Matters Arising Minutes of the meeting dated 3 December 2019 were agreed as an accurate record. Matters arising were as follows - all other actions complete.	
2.2	4.5 - Terms of Reference to be considered at the March meeting - to be discussed later in the meeting.	
2.3	5.3 SEP to consider differences regarding in and out of borough persistent absence data. 2018-29 Average Absence in borough was lower than out of borough for Richmond and higher in borough than out for Kingston and RBWM.	

3. Headteacher Report

3.1 Spring Review

SEP gave an overview of the Spring term.

- Over half the pupils at KS4 are SEND however outcomes in English and Maths were significantly higher than CLA nationally.
- NEET figures are very low in Richmond (7%), Kingston's figure is higher at 17.9% but lower than 2019. RBWM's figures are in line with last year (18%). These compare well against the national average of 39%.
- School stability figures are of concern. 27% moved school during the last 2 years in Richmond, 26% in Kingston and 34% in RBWM which equates to 7 school moves for a third of CLA. This lack of stability is a significant factor in why CLA perform less well than their peers. School stability is a key focus for the Virtual School this year and education needs to be a priority when any placement move is suggested.
- Fixed term exclusions are in line with the national average for CLA (11.7%) but are still high; 8.8% in Richmond, 11.3% in Kingston 11.3% and RBWM 11.7%.
- The percentage of CLA in a Good or Outstanding school are 90% in Richmond, 92.9% in Kingston and 98.6% in RBWM.

Q. Why are young people moved from a school?

A. Sometimes a young person needs to be moved to another area if they are vulnerable in their current setting. The Rees Centre have published a report which considered the factors within social care and education that impacted on the outcomes of CLA children and lack of school stability has a significant impact. In RBWM, school stability is included in their services impact plan as there is recognition that this needs to be improved. The Assistant Virtual School Headteacher is included in many meetings with social care. In Richmond and Kingston, Alison Twynam is also keen to look at how stability can be improved.

Q. What consideration do councils give to CLA when planning a regeneration of areas such as the Cambridge Road Estate?

A. Planning does involve other aspects including social care and the new school will prioritise local children but CP agreed to discuss this further to ensure all opportunities have been seized with Matthew Paul. In terms of exclusions, SEP confirmed Richmond and Kingston schools very positively support CLA. The support within RBWM is more varied but SEP hopes the attachment aware programme will be helpful in addressing this.

3.2 Update on Educational Provision During COVID-19

- The Virtual School maintained a RAG rated spreadsheet outlining whether young people are in school or at home and how much learning they have accessed. Some young people have responded very well.
- School based Primary attendance figures are: Richmond 81%, Kingston 85% and RBWM 81%. Secondary attendance is slightly lower at 66% for Richmond and Kingston, and 57% for RBWM but higher than the national average of 14%

Carmen Palmer joined the meeting

- Throughout this period, engagement with Foster Carers has been very positive.
- Year 11 students have been out of school since March and SEP is concerned for this group as these 6 students are at risk of being NEET.
- PEP completion for the Spring was 100%.
- Strengths and Difficulties questionnaires have been rolled out and these are

СР

4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7	It is suggested the Board changes its name to the "Virtual School - Education Achievement Board" however representation from the existing membership of the Board should remain unchanged to fully ensure the VIrtual School leadership team are held to account for the full remit of their social care and educational responsibilities. It was proposed that the Board may consider expanding its membership to Include representation from other areas of expertise such as a SEND as appropriate. SEP strongly feels that when considering educational attainment, it is important to be mindful of the impact of social care (e.g. http://www.education.ox.ac.uk/research/linking-care-and-educational-data-the-educati onal-progress-of-looked-after-children-in-england/ It was agreed that Governors should consider this more fully and the draft Terms of Reference be revisited in the Autumn Term. Governors thanked SEP for all of her hard work and asked if their thanks could also be passed on to her team.	
5.	Future Meeting Dates 9 December 2020 (3.00 - 4.45 pm) 29 March 2021 (3.00 - 4.45 pm) 5 July 20201 (3.00 - 4.45 pm)	

The meeting ended at 4.10 pm

Signed by the Chair _____

Date_____

Summary of Actions

3.1 CP to discuss with Matthew Paul engagement of the planning team with other services when considering regeneration projects to ensure all opportunities have been seized.CP	СР
--	----